

**BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD
OF MASSAGE THERAPY**

In the Matter of

Yi Huang Long, LMT,

Holder of License No. MT-20098

As a Massage Therapist

In the State of Arizona

Board Case No. 16-106

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
OF LAW, AND ORDER**

On July 25, 2016, the Arizona Board of Massage Therapy (“the Board”) considered the state’s Motion to Deem Allegations Admitted. Elizabeth Campbell, Assistant Attorney General, appeared on behalf of the State. Neither Respondent nor Respondent’s attorney appeared at the Board meeting. Christopher Munns of the Solicitor General’s Office was available to provide independent legal advice to the Board.

After reviewing the record, the Board granted the State’s Motion to Deem Allegations Admitted. Based upon A.R.S. § 32-4254(H) and the Complaint and Notice of Hearing filed in this matter, the Board issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order.

PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for licensing and regulating the practice of massage therapy in the State of Arizona.
2. Respondent is the holder of license number MT-20098, which allows her to practice as a massage therapist in the State of Arizona.
3. Under A.R.S. § 32-4201, *et seq.*, the Board possess jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent as a licensee of the Board.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. In April 2014, Respondent applied for licensure as a massage therapist with the

Board. On her application, Respondent stated that she graduated from the Margate School of Beauty in Margate, Florida. Respondent provided an "Official Transcript" from the Margate School of Beauty with her application. Respondent was issued a license by the Board in May 2014.

2. In about August 2014, Respondent applied for licensure with the Nevada State Board of Massage Therapists. On the application for Nevada licensure, Respondent stated that she graduated from the Margate School of Beauty in Margate, Florida.

3. Based upon the information provided by the Margate School of Beauty in May 2015, Respondent was not a student at the school.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-4201 *et seq.*

2. The conduct described above in the Factual Allegations is grounds for discipline under A.R.S. § 32-4253(A)(2)(Using fraud, deceit or misrepresentation in obtaining or attempting to obtain a license or the renewal or reinstatement of a license).

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent's license is **Revoked**.

DATED this 27th day of July, 2016

ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF MASSAGE THERAPY

By: Kathleen Phillips
Kathleen Phillips
Executive Director

NOTICE

Any aggrieved party may appeal this decision by filing a written request for Rehearing or Review with the Board within thirty (30) days of service of this Decision. Service of this

